

## Drum Example Continued

**Example 1.** Solve the 2D wave equation (in polar coordinates) for a vibrating drum head of radius 1 via separation of variables.

Last time we applied the  $r = 0$  BC to find that

$$R(r) = D J_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{c} r \right).$$

If we applying the other BC at  $r = 1$  we see that either  $D = 0$  (which we reject) or

$$J_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda}}{c} \right) = 0. \quad (1)$$

Since the  $R$  ODE was a Sturm-Liouville problem it must be the case that we can find countably infinitely many non-negative  $\lambda$ s that make (1) true. This is part of the power of Sturm-Liouville analysis: the Bessel functions are very complicated, and the order of these Bessel functions is not necessarily integer, however these  $\lambda$ s exist. To find these roots in practice, one would either use a numerical solver or consult a table of values. In any case, we label these roots  $\sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}}/c$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots$  (Here the  $n$  indicates (part) of the order the Bessel function. That Bessel function of order  $n$  has infinitely many non-negative zeros: which we count by the index  $m$ : that is  $n$  comes from the  $\mu$  eigenvalue and  $m$  from the  $\lambda$  eigenvalue).

All together,

$$R_m(r) = D_m J_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}}}{c} r \right).$$

Now finally, for the  $T$  equation we have

$$T'' + \lambda_{m,n} T = 0$$

where we know that  $\lambda_{m,n} \geq 0$ . Hence,

$$T(t) = F \sin \left( \sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}} t \right) + G \cos \left( \sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}} t \right)$$

but since  $T'(0) = 0$  we have  $F = 0$ .

Then

$$u_{m,n}(r, \theta, t) = (a_{m,n} \cos(n\theta) + b_{m,n} \sin(n\theta)) J_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}}}{c} r \right) \cos \left( \sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}} t \right)$$

All together our solution becomes

$$u(r, \theta, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} u_{m,n}(r, \theta, t)$$

where we've applied all BCs/ICs *except* the  $u(r, \theta, 0) = 1 - r^2$  IC.

Now the functions  $u_{m,n}(r, \theta, t)$  are called the *modes* or the *harmonics* of the system. The zeroes of the modes are called the *nodes* and the places where the modes reach maximum amplitudes are called *antinodes*. Often you can recover much of the spatial structure of the full solution by plotting only a few modes. While the modes technically depend upon  $t$ , often we fix  $t$  to a particular value and only consider the spatial structure of the modes.

To apply the final IC we consider

$$u(r, \theta, 0) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (a_{m,n} \cos(n\theta) + b_{m,n} \sin(n\theta)) J_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}}}{c} r \right) = 1 - r^2.$$

but first I'll define

$$\hat{a}_{m,n} = a_{m,n} \left\| J_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}}}{c} r \right) \right\|$$

and  $\hat{b}_{m,n}$  analogously so that the  $u(r, \theta, 0)$  equation becomes

$$u(r, \theta, 0) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} (\hat{a}_{m,n} \cos(n\theta) + \hat{b}_{m,n} \sin(n\theta)) \hat{J}_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}}}{c} r \right) = 1 - r^2.$$

where the Bessel functions have now been normalized.

We want to find the values of  $\hat{a}_{m,n}$  and  $\hat{b}_{m,n}$ . First, take it as fact that for  $k \neq 0$  with integer  $k$  and  $m$  that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(k\theta) \cos(m\theta) d\theta &= \pi \delta_{m,k} \\ \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(k\theta) \sin(m\theta) d\theta &= \pi \delta_{m,k} \\ \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(k\theta) \cos(m\theta) d\theta &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

(These are standard calculations often first justified in an intro to Fourier series course. The process of obtaining these relations is a straightforward exercise in first year calculus).

Hence for  $k \neq 0$

$$\int_0^{2\pi} \cos(k\theta) u(r, \theta, 0) d\theta = \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(k\theta) (1 - r^2) d\theta$$

or, substituting in our series form, (in this next step I'm justified in exchanging the order of the integral and the series operator because of a theorem from last Wednesday (Lec 21): namely, since  $1 - r^2$  is a  $C^2$  function in  $\theta$  on  $[0, 2\pi]$  and  $\Theta$  and  $f$  satisfy the periodic boundary conditions we have that the series converges uniformly. The Bessel function factor can be viewed as a constant, as far as  $\theta$  is concerned).

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{J}_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}}}{c} r \right) \left( \hat{a}_{m,n} \int_0^{2\pi} \cos(n\theta) \cos(k\theta) d\theta + \hat{b}_{m,n} \int_0^{2\pi} \sin(n\theta) \cos(k\theta) d\theta \right) &= 0 \\ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \hat{J}_n \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,n}}}{c} r \right) \hat{a}_{m,n} \delta_{n,k} &= 0 \\ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{J}_k \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,k}}}{c} r \right) \hat{a}_{m,k} &= 0 \end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\hat{a}_{m,k} = \left( 0, \hat{J}_k \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,k}}}{c} r \right) \right) = 0$  if  $k \neq 0$ . A very similar argument shows  $\hat{b}_{m,k} = 0$  if  $k \neq 0$ . Now note that the value  $\hat{b}_{m,0}$  doesn't affect the form of  $u$  since  $\sin(n\theta) = 0$  for  $n = 0$  and so we take  $\hat{b}_{m,0} = 0$ . All that to say,

$$u(r, \theta, 0) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_{m,0} \hat{J}_0 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,0}}}{c} r \right) = 1 - r^2$$

and so

$$\hat{a}_{m,0} = \left( 1 - r^2, \hat{J}_0 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,0}}}{c} r \right) \right).$$

Note this argument works for more than just  $1 - r^2$  – it works for any IC of the form  $u(r, \theta, 0) = f(r)$  by replacing  $1 - r^2$  with  $f(r)$  above. All told our solution to the PDE is then

$$u(r, \theta, t) = \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \hat{a}_{m,0} \hat{J}_0 \left( \frac{\sqrt{\lambda_{m,0}}}{c} r \right) \cos \left( \sqrt{\lambda_{m,0}} t \right)$$

1. The solution  $u$  is independent of  $\theta$  *because* my initial data were independent of  $\theta$ .
2. Through a series of calculations by taking  $w(r) = r$  in the inner product we *could* find the values of  $\hat{a}_{m,0}$  and the normalization constants (or equivalently, the values of  $a_{m,0}$ ) in terms of the values of *other* Bessel functions  $J_1$  and  $J_2$  evaluated at  $\sqrt{\lambda_{m,0}}/c$  (the  $m$  roots of  $J_0$ ). This isn't necessarily *practical* as the values of the roots of  $J_0$  are things we need a computer for anyway (but it *is* cool).
3. If  $t$  is measured in seconds, then  $\sqrt{\lambda_{m,0}}$  is measured in Hz (due to the  $\cos$  factor). These  $\lambda$ s intimately depend upon our boundary conditions. In a really (really) cool paper, it was shown that you can go backwards: given the  $\lambda$ s, you can determine the shape of the boundary (these inverse-eigenvalue problems are very important in physics/biology). Now these  $\sqrt{\lambda}$ s are measured in Hz and correspond to the tones of the drum. All that to say, if you listen *very very* closely, you can hear the shape of a drum.